

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/729,443		GORDON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	HELENE TAYONG		2611	

All Participants:

(1) HELENE TAYONG

(2) Mirut Dalal

Date of Interview: 26 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NO

Claims discussed:
 20

Prior art documents discussed:
 NO

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:50AM

/Helene Tayong/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment to claim 20, unlabelled reference numbers 510, 515 and 517 disclosed in amended specification submitted 2/14/09, undisclosed character "μp" disclosed in amended specification and missing labels on new drawing (fig. 5) submitted 2/14/09.